THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE V.V.S.RAO

-

WRIT PETITION No.12639 of 2005

-

Dated:09.03.2006

Between:

Ms.C.Aruna.

..... PETITIONER

AND

Rukminidevi Arundale College of Education (RACE) Madanapalli, rep. By its Principal, Mrs.Syamaladevi, Chittoor District, and others.

.....RESPONDENTS

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE V.V.S.RAO

WRIT PETITION No.12639 of 2005

ORDER:

The petitioner appeared for Education Common Entrance Test (EDCET) 2004-2005 seeking admission into B.Ed. course during the academic year 2004-2005. In the EDCET, she obtained state rank No.3904 (local rank No.850, with reference to Sri Venkateswara University local area). When she approached the second respondent seeking admission, she was not given the proper application form. She alleges that the Principal informed her and her father to pay an amount of Rs.1,15,000/-, being the fees for B.Ed. with Biological Sciences Methodology. But, the petitioner could not pay the amount as she was not given any application form, in spite of her father personally approaching the second respondent. In the meanwhile, it appears, the Correspondent of the College sent a report on 09.05.2005 to the fourth respondent surrendering the two unfilled seats with Biological Sciences Methodology. Therefore, the petitioner filed the present writ petition seeking a declaration that the surrendering of two seats is illegal and arbitrary, and also seeks a consequential direction to admit her under management quota of 15% to the Biological Science Methodology in B.Ed for the academic year 2004-2005.

This Writ Petition was filed on 14.06.2005. By that time, even according to the petitioner, though there were two seats available and candidates were ready to pay the requisite fees to take up management quota seats, the second respondent surrendered the seats on 09.05.2005. Therefore, after the completion of the academic year 2005-2006, no direction can be given to the fourth respondent to re-allot two extra seats to fill up the petitioner or other eligible and suitable candidates. Such a course of action would be illegal and contrary to the statutory rules. In that view of the matter, the grievance of the petitioner, which arose during the academic year 2004-2005, cannot be redressed in this academic year, when seats were already surrendered.

The Writ Petition is, accordingly, dismissed. No costs.

_____

(V.V.S.RAO, J)

09.03.2006

vs